

Licensing News



**TEXAS Board of
Architectural Examiners**
Architects • Landscape Architects • Registered Interior Designers

ARCHITECTURE

INTERIOR DESIGN

LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTURE

SPRING 2026

CHAIR'S COLUMN

Darren L. James, FAIA
Chair



The importance of the professional exam

One of the most enduring strengths of design professionals is the way we support and learn from one another across generations. From your first day in a professional environment to the date of licensure and continuing through your career, professional development is often shaped not only by individual effort but by the ecosystem and mentors that shape and support those efforts.

At the outset of your career, the licensing examination represents a momentous step. It is a rigorous and demanding process—one that tests knowledge, judgment, and perseverance—while also marking the transition to professional registration and affirming a commitment to protecting the public health, safety, and welfare.

In the article that follows, two architects share their experiences completing the ARE in fewer than ten days—a rare and commendable achievement. Their successes reflect extraordinary focus, preparation, and determination. They also offer an opportunity to consider how both architects benefited from mentorship and practical support from their firms during the examination process.

These interviews are shared not only to inspire candidates, but to invite firm leaders and licensed architects to reflect on how workplace culture can meaningfully support licensure. Whether through mentorship, work flexibility, or simple encouragement, the public and the profession benefit when firms actively invest in the growth of their emerging professionals. I encourage you to share this column with emerging professionals and ARE candidates—and to consider how similar support systems might be strengthened or established within your own offices. By doing so, we help ensure a strong, prepared, and resilient future for architecture.

Racing through the national licensing exams: Advice from some fast finishers

Meet Amanda Kalescky, who dashed through the Architect Registration Exam in five days, and Yasmine Perez, who finished it in ten. We noticed their huge accomplishment and asked them to kindly share some hints, tips, and hard-earned wisdom for others who are engaged in the testing process. Below is a condensed version of Ms. Kalescky's and Ms. Perez' thoughtful responses, which we hope will be helpful

and informative for examinees, mentors, and firm leaders alike.

On support from others

What helped me specifically was to have a colleague, several actually, that were willing to hold

Continued on page 2...

IN THIS ISSUE:

- Continuing Education Fast Facts
- Disciplinary Actions

and more...

me accountable and encourage me throughout the process. So, once I decided to start, it was both helpful and immensely encouraging to have those friends checking in on me and my progress. -AK

Other resources/opportunities that helped were having the exams be considered Professional Practice days. This gave me the comfort of moderately using time off to take the exams without the stress of using personal time and spreading out my exam dates to break my commitment of all six tests in less than two weeks. If your firm offers any sort of time off for the exams, utilize it! -YP

My firm, GFF Design, was very supportive! They highly encourage licensure and provide a firmwide account for Amber Book which I used when I first started studying. They also do provide reimbursement for licensure exam fees and paid time off to take the exams, which was really helpful. -AK

On administrative and logistics tasks

It was also great to have someone that was willing to offer advice and even guide me through the logistical process of mundane things like getting my online account set up. I would schedule a lunchtime meeting with a colleague so it was on my calendar, and we would get through whatever roadblock I was facing at the time. -AK

On studying and study materials

I broke down [the Amber Book] 8- and 16-week plans into a 12-week plan and committed all time outside of work to learning. This came out to about 14-20 hours a week of studying. During this time, I watched and wrote every single video and note imaginable since that is the best way I learn. I studied every subject and module possible, making sure I covered all topics of materials, site planning, structures, project management, construction, etc.—any of the examination material that was listed as part of the concepts listed by NCARB, I touched on. It is a bit intense and therefore not for everyone, but I now know of two colleagues that have done this exact approach and passed the same way in the same amount of time. -YP

I am a big visual learner, so the giant study book ended up being the best study tool for me. I read through the whole book probably three times during my studying process. The first time was a pretty close reading, and I highlighted and took notes as I went along. That took me about six weeks, reading some every day. Then, when the exams were about two weeks away, I started back at the beginning and re-read it all again, but pretty quickly, skimming through the sections and my notes. Finally, I at least flipped through each section the night before that particular exam so it was fresh in my mind. -AK

I highly recommend taking [the practice exams]. There are statistics online that shows applicants who took the practice exam score scored on average 12 points higher. -YP

I ended up not having time to do any of the free practice exams that are provided online, but I think those would have been helpful to do. If I could change one thing about my study plan, it would be to leave time towards the end to take each practice exam. -AK

Once you take away the mental roadblock of needing to know all 1000+ concepts you could be tested on, there is a liberty in studying to where you truly get to enjoy what you are learning. My friends from architecture school found it surprising that whenever I was asked “How is studying going?” and I would reply back “I actually love it!” -YP

On scheduling

Schedule times to get through the administrative tasks, as well as study time, on your calendar. Make an overall plan for how long you think you need to study and for when you want to take the exams. Map it all out on a calendar and put it somewhere you can see it. I even mapped out how many pages I needed to read each night in the study guide I was using so I could keep myself on track. There’s nothing quite so satisfying as crossing out a “to-be-read” section each day as you make progress! -AK

Continued on page 3...

This was something I preplanned. In a fun motivational way, I scheduled a vacation in Iceland to follow immediately after the 12-week window so that I had something to look forward to after. The goal was to be able to enjoy the vacation with screens off, after staring at a screen for work to immediately a screen for studying. But outside of the vacation motivation, I planned to take the exams close together because of the overlap in content on the exams. -YP

In my particular case, I had one week circled on the calendar that I knew had to be the week I took all the exams. So, it was very much a “hard stop” deadline

for my studying. Having that hard stop was a great motivator! -AK

I do believe taking the exams together at one time helped significantly. All the material remained “sticky” in my mind. The best comparison is back to school and taking a whole semester of learning material into one final. Except the “final” for the ARE is broken into six parts. Since there is a lot of overlap in material across the different exams, the benefit of studying and taking all the sections within one amount of time is that there is no need to individually break up the concepts, and then be tested on a specific question you haven’t been refreshed on in almost two years in some cases! -YP

Common Continuing Education (CE) Fast Facts

- No need to send documentation or transcripts at your time of renewal
- Only send documentation in response to an audit letter, if you receive one
- Renewal dates are based on your birth month; CE compliance periods run from January 1 to December 31 (calendar year)
- For each calendar year, a registrant is required to complete 12 hours of health/safety/welfare content, including one hour barrier-free and one hour sustainable design
- Up to four hours of your credits can be self-study (not in a structured or classroom setting)
- You may earn both your sustainable design and barrier-free credits by self-study

...and some tips for your accessible design CE credits

By now, you surely know that each calendar year, one of the 12 hours of continuing education (CE) you are required to earn must be on a topic related to accessible design. Here are a few additional hints to help ensure you meet that requirement:

- The title and course description offer clues to show you that the course is about accessible design and whether or not the course is specifically designated as such by any accrediting organization. Common words or phrases of this type include:
 - Accessibility or accessible design
 - Universal design
 - Barrier-free design
 - Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA/ADAAG)
 - Inclusive design
- You are allowed to earn up to four hours of CE via self-study, and your accessible design hour can be one of them.
- You are welcome to earn your accessible design hour in a classroom, via self-study, or online.
- Reviewing accessible design-related regulations, such as the Texas Accessibility Standards, Accessibility Guidelines for Buildings and Facilities (ADAAG), or Fair Housing Accessibility Guidelines will always count for TBAE CE self-study credit.

DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

The following cases were decided during TBAE Board meetings in June, August, and November 2025. Each case is based on the applicable rule(s) in effect at the time of the violation, and was considered by Enforcement staff and the Board in light of its unique facts.

In order to ensure compliance with continuing education (CE) responsibilities, TBAE staff selects a sample of its registrants to be audited. All CE enforcement cases stem from the random audit program. The most common violations include: (1) failing to complete adequate continuing education hours during a program year, (2) failing to maintain continuing education records and verification of participation in CE activities for a period of five years, (3) falsely certifying, at the time of renewal, compliance with continuing education responsibilities, and/or (4) failing to respond to a request for information within 30 days.

Registrant/Non-Registrant Cases

Beltran, John Jairo

Architect

\$6,000

Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to ensure the accuracy of construction documents, failed to institute procedures to ensure proper supervision of projects, and failed to ensure the proper use and application of his seal, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.142(c).
- Respondent issued construction documents that did not include the architect's signature, seal, and date or include the statement "Not for regulatory approval, permitting, or construction," in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.103(b).

Brickmoon Designs LLC

Architectural Firm

\$38,000

Houston, TX

- Respondent used an architect's seal to issue architectural plans and specifications for 19 projects without the knowledge or consent of that architect, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.702(b) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.104(c).

Calvert, Richard Alan, Sr.

Architect

\$4,000

Carrollton, TX

- Respondent authorized the affixation of his architectural seal to architectural plans that were not produced under Respondent's supervision and control, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.104(a).

- Respondent engaged in the unlawful practice of architecture while his registration was on emeritus status by preparing and issuing architectural plans and specifications for a non-exempt project, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.67.

Fanos, Medhat

Non-Registrant

\$3,000

Round Rock, TX

- Respondent engaged in the unauthorized practice of architecture by preparing and issuing architectural plans for a non-exempt project, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.701.

Fuentes, Hugo

Non-Registrant

\$2,500

Houston, TX

- Respondent improperly utilized the terms "architect," "architecture," and "architectural" to describe Respondent and/or Respondent's firm and also utilized the terms to describe the services offered by Respondent and/or Respondent's firm in Texas, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.701(b) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.123(c).

Kidd, Christopher D.

Architect

\$1,000

Brookfield, WI

- Respondent failed to submit plans and specifications for accessibility review no later than the 20th day after issuance, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.752 (2) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.170.

Lambert, Charles Robert \$5,000
Architect Savannah, TX

- Respondent authorized the affixation of his architectural seal to architectural plans for two projects without taking reasonable steps to notify the original architect of his intent to modify the documents, Respondent failed to clearly indicate on the documents the portions that were prepared by him or under his supervision and control, and Respondent failed to indicate that his architectural seal only applied to the portion of the documents that he prepared or were prepared under his supervision and control, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.104(d).
- Respondent failed to maintain the original construction documents or clear copies of the construction documents for two projects bearing his architectural seal, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.103(c).

Lazaro, George E. \$3,000
Non-Registrant La Feria, TX

- Respondent engaged in the unauthorized practice of architecture by preparing and issuing architectural plans for a new building with construction costs exceeding \$100,000, that is to be constructed and owned by a public entity and used for educational and instructional purposes, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.701 and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.212(a)(1).

Lockwood, Mark E. \$7,000
Non-Registrant Lewisville, TX

- Respondent removed an architect's seal from construction documents for two projects, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.104(e).
- Respondent improperly utilized the term "architectural" to describe Respondent and/or services offered by Respondent in Texas, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.123(c).

Lord, Donovan Elliott \$10,000
Non-Registrant Dallas, TX

- Respondent improperly utilized the terms "architecture" and "architectural" to describe Respondent and/or Respondent's firm and also utilized the terms to describe the services offered by Respondent and/or Respondent's firm in Texas, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.701(b) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.123(c).

McCann, Daryl Layne \$1,700
Landscape Architect Buford, GA

- In order to reinstate his landscape architectural registration, Respondent agreed to resolve a prior case in which he failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 3.69.

Nguyen, Tien Nhat \$1,700
Architect Honolulu, HI

- In order to reinstate his architectural registration, Respondent agreed to resolve a prior case in which he failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.

Pearcy, John Richard \$1,000
Architect Harlingen, TX

- Respondent failed to submit plans and specifications for accessibility review no later than the 20th day after issuance, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.752(2) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.170.

Perez, Federico \$1,000
Architect El Paso, TX

- Respondent failed to submit plans and specifications for accessibility review no later than the 20th day after issuance, in violation of Tex. Occ. Code § 1051.752(2) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.170.

Wheeler, James H. \$500
Landscape Architect *Bonita Springs, FL*

- Respondent failed to seal a site plan that was issued for regulatory approval, in violation of Texas. Occ. Code § 1052.252(1) and 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 3.103.

Continuing Education Cases

Adams, Tyler Douglas \$150
Architect *Dallas, TX*

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Anthony, Zephyr \$600
Architect *Austin, TX*

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Aven, Morgan K. \$100
Architect *Houston, TX*

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Beal, Ronnajoice C. \$1,100
RID *Midlothian, TX*

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.

Bremer, April \$100
RID *Celina, TX*

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Caveney, John Howard \$750
Architect *Lowell, MA*

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Cooper, Deborah Joy \$800
Architect *San Francisco, CA*

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Couch, Brittney Nicole \$900
Architect *Watersound, FL*

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

DeJean, Colette Rochelle \$250
RID Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Dennison, Michael William \$400
Architect High Springs, FL

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Dumas, David \$400
Architect Dallas, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Dunn, Anna Garrison \$800
RID Nacogdoches, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Ferguson, Marcella H. \$700
Architect Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Gaskin, Robert \$1,700
Architect Jamaica, NY

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.

Helton, Julie Marie \$400
RID Waco, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Johnson, Matthew William \$400
Architect Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Lim, G. Yim Lei \$750
Architect San Francisco, CA

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.
- This is Respondent's second disciplinary action for failing to comply with continuing education requirements.

Malaty, Irene

RID

\$900

Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Martinez, Scott Richard

Landscape Architect

\$450

Tucson, AZ

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 3.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

McElhenie, Tracy

RID

\$400

Dallas, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

McIntosh, Dawn Marie

RID

\$700

Dallas, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

McLean, Allison Paige

RID

\$900

Dallas, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.

- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Renz, Lauren R.

Landscape Architect

\$850

Caldwell, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 3.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Richardson, Amber

RID

\$675

Lubbock, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.

Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Robinson, Lindsay

RID

\$350

Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Runkle, Todd Timothy

RID

\$400

Austin, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Silva, Cesar Antonio \$400
Architect San Antonio, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Simpson, Peter Ryan \$100
Landscape Architect Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 3.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Sweitzer, William A. \$200
Landscape Architect Houston, TX

- Respondent failed to maintain a detailed record of continuing education activities for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 3.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Tatchio, Roderick John \$1,100
Architect Sherman, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.
- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Temple, Jessica Lindsay \$900
Architect Austin, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.

- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Turner, Frances Bruns \$900
RID Dallas, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 5.79.

- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Walters, Robert W. \$250
Architect Sugar Land, TX

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.

- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Ye, Rudan \$1,100
Architect Seattle, WA

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.

- Respondent completed supplemental continuing education, which was considered as a mitigating factor in support of a reduced administrative penalty.

Yuen, Chi Yin Tommy \$1,300
Architect Hong Kong

- Respondent failed to timely complete continuing education requirements and falsely certified compliance for the audit period, in violation of 22 Tex. Admin. Code § 1.69.

Change of Address

Please make sure that we have your current mailing and email address so we may send your renewal notice to you in a timely fashion. You may update your own record by logging in to your online account on our website, www.tbae.texas.gov. You can also mail the address change along with your signature to **P.O. Box 12337, Austin, TX 78711**. We will send renewal reminders to registrants at the e-mail address on file with TBAE, so be sure to keep your valid and unique email address updated.

Upcoming Board Meetings

- Thursday, May 21, 2026
- Thursday, August 20, 2026
- Thursday, November 19, 2026

Executive Director

Lance Brenton

Board Members

Darren L. James, FAIA

Chair, Architect Member; Term ends 1/31/2025

Jennifer Walker, AIA & LEED® AP

Vice-Chair, Architect Member; Term ends 1/31/2027

Justin S. Hiles, AIA

Secretary-Treasurer, Architect Member; Term ends 1/31/2029

Joyce J. Smith, CPA, CGMA

Public Member; Term ends 1/31/2029

Rosa G. Salazar, RID

Registered Interior Designer Member; Term ends 1/31/2029

Tim A. Bargainer, PLA, ASLA, CLARB

Landscape Architect Member; Term ends 1/31/2025

Michael A. Ebbeler, Jr. – Public Member; Term ends 1/31/2027

Eva M. Read-Warden, AIA

Architect Member; Term ends 1/31/2027

The mission of the Texas Board of Architectural Examiners (TBAE) is to serve the State of Texas by protecting and preserving the health, safety, and welfare of the Texans who live, work, and play in the built environment through the regulation of the practice of architecture, landscape architecture, and interior design.

www.tbae.texas.gov



ATTESTATION OF SELF-DIRECTED CREDIT HOUR EARNED

TBAE newsletter, *Licensing News*

I certify that I read the _____ [Month/Season, Year as found on page one] issue of TBAE's *Licensing News* for one (1) hour CEPH credit on _____ [date].

This continuing education hour will count for self-directed Health/Safety/Welfare study for the calendar year in which it was earned. I understand that up to four continuing education hours of the required 12 per calendar year may be earned via self-study.

Your name

Date

Please keep this Certificate for your records, and submit it if you receive an audit letter from TBAE, along with all additional certificates for the specified calendar year.

An organization other than the Texas Board of Architectural Examiners may or may not accept this coupon for that particular organization's continuing education requirements.